Present

App F. IX-4

1935. 36 (Aug)

Aug File No. 301--

Serial Nos. 12

Previous References

[illegible]

Later References

[illegible]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

[illegible]

Serial Nos. 2

Part B for [illegible]

SUBJECT.

Recovery of advance paid by certain Vakils of the Court Cochin for building A[illegible] at Kanjikuzhy

[illegible]

Ans 57 8-7-936

To The M.L.

[illegible]

re certain Vrittidars

re Krishnadeo Chaubey for building Agrahara houses — Pasalima Valima &

General and Revenue Secretariat. Mucz File No. of 1934-5 [App. F. III-2

Letter no 884 of 20/6/1935 from the Mucz Asst Commissioner stating that suits will have to be filed for recovering advances given to the Vrittidars for building agrahara houses at Pasalimavalima and requesting that Law Section may be consulted if the claim of Govt to such buildings is tenable or not. Papers file will be referred to Law Secy for favour of opinion and suitable action

Law pmc 12/9

Please send the file through the Secretariat for perusal. 12-9

3) Regs relating to the sanction of advances to Vrittidars may kindly be sent for regs

Mucz. Asst Comr. V.C. ISD 12/9

4) Mucz nos 264-22-4 bearing book no 1307-21 of 17.4.26 is among papers with the following files: Mucz 1-29-29; 2734-33-1-8; Mucz 84-26-(1-5); Mucz 401-27-(1-5).

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

File No. of 193 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

if any of the files read in the preamble of the Govt order of 17-4-26 is reqd, it may kindly be got as requisition direct from records, as no refs are kept here in the Rev.

(Illegible)

14.9.35

5) The claim of Govt to receive the advance made to the Vrittidars does not seem to be time barred as the period of limitation for any suit by or on behalf of Govt is 60 yrs. according to art. 149 of first schedule of the Limit. Act. Necessary action require has to be taken.

ISD 20/9

6) Forwarded with compts. to the Govt advocate for favour of opinion

68

Law

Rev. O. No P 57 of 35

dated 23rd Septr 1935

Rev 308/35-36

dt 23.9.35

Approved

20/9/35

Irrigation Secy

Returned with compliments.

7) The points raised by the Vrittidars were set at rest by Government order No.1317-21/Muz., 264-22-9 dated 17-4-1926 flagged (U).

As under the Limitation Regulation a period of

GENERAL SECRETARIAT 17 OCT. 1935 Received

of 60 years is provided for suits by or on behalf of Government the claim of the Government to - recover the amounts advanced to the Vrittidars would be within time.

N. Ramaswami Ayyar

Government Advocate.

CSN/16.10.35

G.O.No.P517/35 dated 18/10/35.
P.D. 382/882-86
9-10-35

a) Opinion may also be kindly furnished as to whether on merits the Govt have a good case if suits are filed against the four individuals now concerned

Govt Advocate with Comps } N. Ramaswami Ayyar 18/10/35

U.O.No.72/35-36
dt 12.11.35

Returned with compliments.

a) The records are not complete.

The registered deed executed by Srinivasa Murti and Dasappa refers to some Government Orders and makes provision for realisation of the amount advanced by the Government in case of default on the part of the borrowers. The Mutchalikas - executed by the other three persons are worded differently. I could not lay my hands on the Government Orders of 1894, 1898 and 1904 referred to in the Government Order dated 17-4-1926 which are necessary to be examined for considering the liability of the four defaulters. They do not seem to be in file. They may be kindly obtained and the file sent to me.

N. Ramaswami Ayyar

Government Advocate.

CSN/12.11.35

DIVERTE RECORDS

Law Dept

NOTE—Contn. Sheet. 12/11

File No. of 193 . | General and Revenue Secretariat

To,

10) Mcy. Bn to kindly pursue the Grades referred to in the Govt Adv note

ISD 13/11

11) The following refs are pursued:—

(1) Collection containing Govt of July 1894 ×K

(2) Monthly Volume Containing Govt of Dec 1894 ×L

(3) May 31-94 containing Govt no 797-8 of 25th Dec 1898 ×M

(4) Govt no 745-6 of May 31-94 of 17-8-1904 (Shame Cases). ×Z.

(5) Two Circulars 676 Vish Gratuities of Govt no 3110-2 of 5/3/21 ×Y.

Redundancy of duty see

Govt No. P 1085 Dated 24/11/35.

Retransmitted with Compliments to the Govt advocate with reference to para 9 Supra.

B. O.

for General Secy

ISD 25/11

Law Dept

Please note that all the refs quoted hereafter are pertain

25/11

11: All the refs noted in the preamble of Govt. dtd are put up

ISD 25/11

Govt Sd/- 26.11.35

1355

Serial No.

G.O. 83/35-36 dt. 2-12-35



Returned with compliments.

The management of the villages was taken over by the Government in 1886 and the villages were resumed in 1894 as the institutions were not maintained in a proper condition and as the objects of the grant had failed. On the representation of the Vrittidars the matter was again considered by the Government in 1898 (Flag M), and it was held among other matters that the Vrittidars were entitled to receive the net revenue collected by the Government during the interval and that they were entitled to get Rs.468-11-5 for each vritti. This amount was also directed to be paid to the rightful owners. The matter came up before the Government again in 1904. Some concessions, which need not now be considered, were offered to the Vrittidars and to such of them as were willing to build Agrahara houses, the Government directed that a suitable advance for such construction might be made from out of the Vritti income between 1886 and 1894, on their giving proper and adequate security. This order was in pursuance of another G. O. dated 11-8-1904 which is not available. It is apparently in accordance with this order that amounts were advanced to the Vrittidars now in question. It is clear from the records that the concession offered to the Vrittidars were not availed of by them and the defaulting Vrittidars have lost their rights in the village. There seems to be no order forfeiting

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet.

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—13-7 35

1395 — 1409

File No. of 193 . | General and Revenue Secretariat

forfeiting also the net income above referred to. The only question now is whether the Vrittidars are liable to refund the amounts taken by them for the building/Agrahar houses as mentioned above. The Vrittidars and their sureties have given agreements to the Government undertaking to refund the amounts in case they failed to fulfil the conditions on which the amounts were advanced to them. But if what was paid was out of the income which accrued from 1886 to 1894 from out of their respective vritties, to which according to the G.O. above referred to, they were entitled, it would be difficult to see how they would be liable to pay back that amount in spite of the agreements given by them to Government. If, on the other hand, the income from 1886 to 1894 was duly paid to them and the loan granted for the Agrahar houses was a seperate and extra payment, they could be proceeded against in a court of Law for recovery of the same. The facts may kindly be ascertained. In any event, any amount paid over and above the net income due to the Vrittidars between 1886 and 1894 could be recovered from them through a Court of Law. A

Government Advocate.

CSN/3.12.35

Now Br for furnishing the information required at A

4/12

Re: advances to be paid to certain Untouchables
1395
Mr. Krishnadas Chanthi for building
Cupalar houses on Parclimavalam.

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. — of 193 . — [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

It appears the file was sent to G.O. & M.C. for favour of furnishing information called for by the Govt. Advocate. Govt.

5 DEC. 1937

1) This may be done.
5/12/35

(Gen: Secy) Yes. 9.12.35

G.O. No 25/35-31
& 10/12/35

2) Forwarded with compliments to the Magrai Commissioner for favour of furnishing information required by the Government Advocate in para 15 supra.

By order
10/12/35
for General Secretary

C 884 22-23

1 DEC

C.884 22-23 Encl 2 copies 2)

Returned with compliments.

A copy of letter No.T.44/M.7. dated 30th May 1936 received from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, stating that advance was paid to build agrahar houses from the income of the Kuttis in the endowed villages--assistance agreed to Government--which accrued from 1886 to 1894 and that it was not a separate and extra payment, is sent, together with a copy of G.C.No.Tl.335-s-31-9 dated 11th August 1931

Magrai Commissioner.

GENL. & REVENUE SECTARIAT. 11. JUN. 1936

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet.

4-6-1936 WD 03594—GPB—80,000—18-7-35

8) Nagal Krishnamma Vs Ch

Advances from Govt for building

Mug File No. houses — of 193 . Gen Secret

In a very deserving case the recovery of an advance from one Kuppamma was waived - But she was reported to be a destitute. (Vide G.O No 1761-4 Mug 131-31-6 of 27.2-33). If it is impossible to recover the sum under the Land Act, by a Civil Suit, it is a matter for Consideration whether it is not reasonable as an Arrear of Land Revenue in small instalments - persons like legal representatives who have obtained such advances for building the Agrahara houses but have failed to build the same though several opportunities were given to them. 2) With the information furnished by the M.C. in para 2 supra, the file will be sent to the G.A Dept —

GS 11/6

Forwarded, with compliments, to the Govt. Advocate.

Seshagiriyappa
for Gen. Secy to Govt.

12-6-36

U.O No 61/35-36
dated 12-6-1936
From 1043/35
9/12/36

1409835

General & Revenue Secretariat. File No. of 193 [App F III-2

Serial No.

Rev. 209/85-36 dt 25.4.36

Returned with compliments.

2. According to the Government Order of 1898 Flagged 'M' the Vrittidars whose vritties were not resumed at the Inam Settlement were entitled to receive the net revenue collected by the Government between 1886 - 1894.

16 It is now ascertained that the advance for building the Agrahar houses was paid out of the income of the vritties which accrued from 1886-94, and that no extra amount was paid. The amount due for each vritti is according to the Government Order of 1898 Rs.468-11-6. Whether any - vrittidar was paid anything over and above what was due for his vritti would depend upon the - number of vritties he owned in the Inam Villages and this will have to be ascertained. If each of the 4 persons in question owned only one vritti the amount advanced over and above what was due for his vritti would be less than Rs.32/-. It would be a point for consideration whether that small amount cannot be waived as their dues were paid so late as 1915-16 though these were held entitled to it in 1898..

Regarding the question now raised whether the amount cannot be recovered in easy instalments as an arrear of land revenue there seems to be no provision for enforcing it in this manner at this stage and no such provision is referred to by the office. Even Section 12 which gives such power in some cases empowers recovery under the summary process of only 6 years dues. The mode of - recovery

SECRETARIAT BRANCH 25 JUNE 36

NOTE-Contn. Sheet.

26/6.

recovery now suggested does not therefore seem

to be proper and legal.

M. Macpherson Esq
Government Advocate.

CSN/24.6.36

24) If approved his file may be returned to C.S. for favour of furnishing information required at ℔. in para 2 of despatch and offering his remarks if any in the matter —

GS 25) ~~There is no doubt~~ The enquiry C.91.2. Commr. seems to be of opinion that the claim of Govt. to recover the advances is time-barred. But Govt. do not seem to have incurred any loss on that account, as they Govt. have resumed the writtis of inamdars, to whom advances were given. They had contemplated the restoration of the writtis to their owners if they repaid the advances for building houses granted to them. (Vide G.G. No. 2047-8 dated 13th Decr. 1894, G.G. Nos 797-8 A.1369 dated 25th Decr. 1894 and G.G. No. Aug. 31-94 745-6 dated 17th Aug. 1904). Govt. have been recovering the advance granted to the writtidars. (Vide para 3 of G.G. No. 3 L-825 6-31-5 dated 11th Aug. 1904). As Govt. have ... and the personal ... of writtidars to whom advances

Serial No.

were given, the advances must have been fully repaid out of the net income of the writtis. Consequently the question of recovering the advances need not be considered. It is a point for consideration whether Govt. are justified in also recovering the advances even after resuming the writtis for failure to repay the advances. The Amrzai Comm. may be requested to submit a report on this point, if approved.

1.7.36

2) Already, the file has been pending for over a year and there is no need to call for a further report as suggested.

3) The M.C. wanted legal opinion as to whether suits for the recovery of advances from the writtidars are maintainable. Such opinion has been furnished and it is to the effect that the amount advanced to each writtidar over & above what was due for his writti is less than Rs. 32 and that the recovery of this small amount might as well be waived.

The legal opinion will, if approved, be sent to the M.C. as it was obtained at his instance.

Gen. Secy) Yes. JW 3/7 — 1/7/36

Draft 3/7/36

1 JULY 1936

Note—Contn. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31

Mlg File No. 301 Agrahara houses — 1396 of 193 5. General and Secretariat

Ref to on cancelled pages 33 & 34 Subd —
The final sum due from the defaulters to building Agrahara houses is Rs 2000/- to be paid by Sri [illegible] vide Mlg 264 — 22-9-936.
As in the case of Kuppuswamy, the recovery of the advance has already been waived,

C. S. 6-7-36.

Issue
DCG
6/7/36

No 599 8-7-1936 to the Mlg Asst Commissioner furnishing him with the opinion of the Govt Advocate on the subject as requested herein.

Mr. G.S. Note should submit a cover after the any case C.W attention drawn fact now an one Dr. 6-7-36.

TYPING SECTION 6/7 JUL 1936 GEN. & REV. SECTS

No. 884 22-23.

IN MYSORE

Dated, Bangalore,. .....15th.....June..... *1935*

20



FROM

N. MADHAVA RAO, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

K.V. Anantaraman Esq., B.A.,
.....
Chief *Secretary to the Government of His Highness*
the Maharaja of Mysore,
.....

Subject.- Recovery of advances paid to certain vrittidars of the Nowl Krishna Doss Charities for building Agrahar houses at Paschimavahini.

SIR,

Referring to your letter No. 921/Muz.131-31-2 dated 4th January 1932, re: the above, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of letter No. H.44/A6. dated 4th June 1935, togethe with the connected records received from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, and to state that in view of what is said by the Deputy Commissioner in his letter dated 2nd February 1934 (copy enclosed), the only means by which the advances can be recovered is apparently by filing suits. Before action is taken accordingly, the questions whether the claim of Government to recover the advance is not time-barred and whether suits are maintainable have to be examined. I request that the Law Section may kindly be consulted on the point and further instructions conveyed as to the recovery of the said advances.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Muzrai Commissioner

Letter No.H.44/A.C. dated 4-6-35 from the Deputy Commissioner. Mysore District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Recovery of advances from certain vrittidars of Nowl Krishna Doss Charities, Seringapatam.

With reference to your letters No.C.884/22-23 dated 8th February 1934, 24th February 1934 and 3rd April 1935 in the matter of the recovery of advances paid to certain vrittidars of Nowl Krishna Doss Charities, Seringapatam Taluk, I have the honor to submit herewith records (4 files) relating to the advances paid to four vrittidars whose claims to their vrittis were not recognised by Government. The dates on which the advance of Rs 500/- was paid to each of these vrittidars are noted in the margin. The original mutchalikas and receipts executed by them are in the records.

1. Srinivasamurthy, Pherya	4-8-1915
2. P. Subba Rao	10-6-1916
3. P. Ananthamurthyachar	13-1-1919
4. A. Narasimhiah (his whereabots are reported to be not known)	25-5-1915

2. As observed in your letter dated 24th February 1934, these advances were paid more than 12 years back and they are now time-barred. I request that the Government Advocate may kindly be addressed to furnish legal opinion as to whether the filing of suits in these cases is maintainable.

"COPY"

G. Rudrappa

For Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.H.44/AC. dated 2-2-34 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore Dist, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Claim of vrittidars - Nowl Krishna Doss Charities - Seringapatam.

With reference to Memo No.C.884 of 22-23 dated 15-1-1932 and 10-3-32 callin for a report on the petitions of other vrittidars of Nowl Krishna Doss Charitie at Seringapatam praying for a recognition of their vritti claim, I have the hon to state that out of 5 vrittidars whose claims over their vritti lands were not recognized by Government, the recovery of the advance of Rs 500/- paid to Kuppam has been ordered to be waived (vide G.O.No.1261-4/Muz.131-31-6 dated 25/27-2-19 Of the other four vrittidars, Srinivasamurthy is reported to have built a house at Seringapatam, but it is not fit for habitation as the work is incompleteand has stated that if the arrears of vritti amount are paid to him he will complet the building. The other 3 vrittidars have neither built the houses nor paid the advance taken by them.

2. As a report was called for in the matter, the records are submitted herewi

---

"COPY"

G. Rudrappa
For Muzrai Commissioner

Letter No.H.44/A.C. dated 20-5-36 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Recovery of advances from certain vrittidars of Kowl ris ma oss Charities.

With reference to your letter No. .084 of 1922-23 dated 27th M ch 1935 on the above subject, I have the honor to state that the Amildar of Seringapatam al x reported that from the registers of overn ent fers for 11th August 1904 and 17th August 1904, it could be ascertained that the advance was paid to the vrittidars out of the amount from the vritti which had been from the years 1888-91 and was originally debited to H, III-16-C. As per para 2 of Government Order dated 17th August 1904, the said sum of the advance the vritti allowance needs to have been written off. From the report of the office and out of this report, the vrittidars in question seem to have not given advances to Mulla houses at Seringapatam. As per last para of Government Order dated 11th August 1904, the vrittidars were entitled to the arrears of vritti and the, when, however they fulfilled the terms of building houses and living in them for one year of the date of.

2. The Amildar further states that as the vritti are have failed to comply to the aforesaid condition, Government have forfeited their rights to the arrears of vritti amounts due to them and that the vrittidars are accordingly liable to make good the advances on the terms of the order issued by them.

3. In view of the opinion expressed by the Government above, it would be difficult to see how the vrittidars could be held liable to pay back the amount in spite of the agreement executed by them to the effect, as it seems is reported to have been paid out of the arrears of vritti which accrued from 1886 to 1894.

4. A copy of Government Order dated 11th August 1904 is sent herewith as desired, together with the file of connected papers.

"COPY"

Ghulam Sarjunal

For Muzrai Commissioner.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Read again:-

Government Proceedings No.963-6/G.199 dated 16th July 1894, sanctioning the resumption of the Inam villages of Turuganur and Basavanahalli in the T. Narasipur Taluk, granted for the maintenance of certain charities established by Nowl Krishna Doss at Paschimavani.

(ii) Government Proceedings No.9048-9/R.1369 dated 2nd December 1894 directing with reference to the representations of the vrittidars that the resumption of the inam villages was just and proper and must continue in full force.

R e a d:-

(iii) Docket No.89 dated 6th January 1900 from the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District, to the address of the Muzrai Secretary, submitting for sanction a refund bill for R 4,411-10-0, being the amount of arrears due to all the vrittidars prior to the date of resumption of the villages by Government.

and (iv) Correspondence ending with letter No.313 dated 26th May 1904 from the Deputy Commissioner to the Muzrai Secretary, recommending the disbursement of the vritti amount to the vrittidars who had produced sannads in support of their claim, as well as to the temples built by the donor at Paschimavani.

Order thereon No.Fl.325-6/Mux-31-94 Bangalore, dated 11th August 1904.

The villages of Turuganur and Basavanahalli in the T.Narasipur Taluk were granted as Sarvamanya by His Highness the late Maharaja Krishnaraja Wadeyar Bahadur III to Nowl Krishna Doss, by sannad dated 8th March 1830, for the maintenance of a chattram, 3 temples and an Agrahar at Paschimavani in the Sri Rangapatam Taluk.

In 1832, the donee divided the inam into 18vrittles and assigned them as follows:-

		vrittles.
(1)	To Sri Rameswaradevaru temple	1 ~~1/3~~
(2)	To the Sri Pattabhiramaswami temple	1 3/8
(3)	" " Anjaneyaswami "	1/4
(4)	" Chattram and for Sadhavarth Dharma	2
(5)	For Yezumaneke	1
(6)	" 12 Agranarukas	12
		18

At the time of the Inam Settlement in 1880, the villages were registered as D e v a d a y a grant, to be held by "The Manager for the time being of the Charities".

2. The institutions having been all reported to be in a condition of decay and destitute and the successor of the original grantee, viz., Nowl Venkata Doss, having offered to surrender the inam villages to Government, the Government, in the first of the Proceedings read above, directed the resumption of the villages observing, however, that a reasonable grant would be made for the maintainence of the Charitable Institutions. On a further

record of the Proceedings read above, that the resumption of the inam villages was just and proper; but promised, however, that they would consider favourably, the question of restoring to the vrittidar the vrittis or their equivalents, after they built their Agrahar houses in a locality approved by the Deputy Commissioner, and otherwise confirmed to the conditions of the original grant.

3. The Deputy Commissioner in his docket No.89 read above, states that the villages having come under Government management in the year 1886, and they having been finally resumed to Government in 1894, the vrittidars are entitled to receive the net revenue collected by Government during the interval. He has also submitted a refund bill for R 4,411-10-0 being the amount of arrears due to the vrittidars for a period of 9 years from 1886 to 1894. This refund bill is sanctioned and forwarded to the Comptroller for audit and recovery to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, in the Muzrai Department, to whom detailed instructions will be issued by the Muzrai Secretariat as to the disbursement of the amount to the vrittidars etc.

4. In his letter No.313 read above, the Deputy Commissioner reports that all the 3 temples noted in para 1 above are in a fairly good condition and puja is regularly conducted therein. He, therefore, recommends the disbursement of the arrears to these institutions subsequent to 1894. This recommendation is approved so far as the 3 temples and the chattram (including the Sadavarth Dharma) are concerned.

5. From the statement submitted by the Amildar of the T.Narasipur Taluk on the 30th June 1894, it is observed that the receipts and the expenditure of the two villages from 1894-95 to the end of 1902-03 were as follows:-

	Total Collections.	Total Expenditure.
(1) Turuganur	R 10,133- 1-11	R. 700-11-0
(2) Basavanahalli	" 4,369-12- 6	" 136- 4-9
Total	R 14,557-14- 5	R. 1,136-15-9

Leaving a net balance of Rs.13,420-14-8 for 9 years at the credit of the villages, this gives an average net income of R. 1,491-3-4 per annum and for each vritti, a sum of R 82-13-6 a year becomes due. Calculating at this rate, the sums payable to the charitable institutions including the chattram and the Sadavarth Dharma, the amount for 9 years from 1894-95 to the end of 1902-03 will be as follows:-

	No. of vritties.	Amount per annum	Amount for 9 years.
(1) To the Sri Rameswaradevaru Temple	1 3/8	R. 113-14-6	R 1025- 2-6
(2) " Pattabhiramadevaru "	1 3/8	" 113-14-6	" 1025- 2-6
(3) " Anjaneya "	¼	" 20-11-4	" 186- 6-0
(4) For the Chattram and the Sadavarth Dharma	2	" 165-11-0	" 1491- 3-0
	5	R 414- 3-4	R 3727-14-0

A refund bill for these arrears also as proposed in the Muzrai Secretariat is sanctioned and forwarded to the Comptroller for audit and return to the Deputy Commissioner, who will obtain instructions from the Government in the Muzrai Department as to its disbursement.

6. The total sum of Rs 8,139-8-0 sanctioned in paras 3 and 5 above, should be met from the net amount of R 13,420-13-8 available at the credit of the villages at the end of 1902-03.

7. As it is desirable to enforce the regular performance of puja and other services in the temples and as it is also necessary to repair the chattram and revive the Sadavarth therein, the Government are pleased to direct the fixed payment to the Muzrai Department of the following amounts for the use of the respective institutions with effect from the year 1903-04, the charge being met from the collections of the two Sarvamanya villages. These amounts have been fixed on the average net income of the villages from 1894-95 to 1902-03:-

	Per annum.
(1) To the Sri Rameswaradevaru Temple	R. 108
(2) " Pattabhiramadevaru "	" 108
(3) " Anjaneyaswami "	" 18
(4) For the chattram and the Sadavarth Dharma	" 165
Total per annum	Rs 399 or R. 33-4-0 per mensem.

Monthly payments may, if so desired by the Muzrai Department, be made at the rate of Rs.33-4-0 as shown above.

8. The Comptroller to Government is requested to issue needful instructions to the Deputy Commissioner in the Treasury Department in the matter of the fixed payments as sanctioned in the 7th para.

9. The question of similarly paying the vritti amounts to the personal vrittidars will, as already observed in the first and second proceedings read above, be considered after they build the Agrahar houses and otherwise conform to the conditions of the original grant.

- Sd.- .....
For Secretary.

"COPY" Mallikarjunaiah
For Muzrai Commissioner.

OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 7th July ... 193 6.

57/Rev 301-35-2

Enclosure Re

From

The *General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore*

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. C.884/22-23 dated 20th June 1935 in the matter of the recovery of advances granted to certain Vrittidars of the Nowl Krishna Loss Charities for building Agrahar houses at Paschimavahini, I am directed to forward herewith the opinion of the Government Advocate on the subject as requested by you.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For General Secretary to Govt

MAHARAJA OF MYSOR

al and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 7th July *193* 6.

Mz 301-35-2

From

The *General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore*

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. C.884/22-23 dated 20 June 1935 in the matter of the recovery of advances granted to certain Vrittidars of the Nowl Krishna Doss Charities for building Agranar houses at Paschimavahini, I am directed to forward herewith the opinion of the Government Advocate on the subject as requested by you.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For General Secretary to Gov

Section Misc 3
File No. 301-35
Serial No. 7

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. 59 Evelyn Road

To

The M.C.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. C 884/22-23 of 20/6/35 - in the matter of the recovery of advances granted to certain institutions of the North Western Province Charities Trustees Agrahara known as Panchanatchi, I am directed to forward herewith ~~the enclosed Extract of the~~ opinion ~~of~~ dated 24.6.36 of the Crown Advocate on the subject as requested by you. I have etc

Date of issue .. 8.7.36

Signed by .. V. D. L. Subbarayappa

Typist

Please type

Paras 25 and 26

of the G.O. note 209/35-36

of 25/6/36. as an enclosure to

Copy of this letter.

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

dcg 6/7/36

WD 01956—GPB—22,500—12-6-34